

COURT-I

**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 183 OF 2019 &
IA NOS. 907 & 909 OF 2019**

Dated : 20th May, 2019

**Present: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Manjula Chellur, Chairperson
Hon'ble Mr. S. D. Dubey, Technical Member**

In the matter of:

**Renascent Power Ventures Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant(s)
Versus
Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ...Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Apoorva Mishra
Mr. Shreshth Sharma
Mr. Jeet Ahuja,

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Sr. Adv.
Mr. C. K. Rai
Mr. Sachin Dubey for for R-1

Mr. Ramji Srinivasan
Mr. Ramanuj Kumar
Ms. Priyal M. for R-2

Mr. R. Pratap Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Altaf Mansoor
Mr. Sunil Kumar Rai for R-4

ORDER

Written submissions by UPERC, Respondent No. 1 is placed on record. Reply by Respondent No. 2 to the main appeal is placed on record. Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 4 to 9 is placed on record.

We have gone through the orders of the High Court of Allahabad, Lucknow. Since arguments on IA for Stay and main matter was one and the same, all learned counsel on the earlier occasion asked for hearing the appeal on merits, therefore, today we partly heard appellant's counsel on merits.

Learned counsel for Respondent-Banks submit that stay application could be disposed of for the reasons mentioned in the objection filed by them. Since we have commenced hearing on main matter which is common arguments for stay and main matter, unless the second respondent (Bank) files an application seeking particular prayer/ interim relief, in the absence of completing the arguments, we cannot pass any such interim orders on the stay application filed by appellant especially when appellant is not insisting for stay of the impugned order.

As agreed by the learned counsel for both the parties, list the matter on **03.07.2019.**

(S. D.Dubey)
Technical Member

Bn/js

(Justice Manjula Chellur)
Chairperson